

MINUTES
Process Standardization Working Group Meeting Minutes

VEE subcommittee
Tuesday, Nov 28th, 2000, 9:00 A.M to 4:00 P.M.
Arizona Public Service
400 N 5th Street
2nd Floor Conference Room 2 South
Phoenix

	Topic	Lead	Outcome	Att.
1	Welcome, Introduction & Sign-in	Janie Mollon	Ms. Mollon delivered opening remarks for the VEE session of the Process Standardization Working Group meeting. Committee members introduced themselves and signed the attendance sheet.	
2	Review minutes from the November 14 th , 2000 meeting	Janie Mollon	Meeting minutes were modified in #7 to APS reported that they do not bill until the closed of the read window. The minutes were approved with the change. Group agreed to cancel the December VEE Meeting.	
3	VEE Issue #7 – Impact of the VEE rule revision on the existing rule for the MSP – Operating Procedures	Janie Mollon	Issue #7 – The Performance Metering Specification and Standards, Operating Procedures for Performing work on primary metered customers were reviewed by the group to seek any impacts from the “proposed” VEE rules. The group agreed there were no impacts. The group noted that the “approved” MSP procedures still included the UMI the group agreed to the following action item. ACTION ITEM: Send to the Policy Group will receive the issue that the MSP Procedures are still showing UMI and that the letter sent to be attached.	

	Topic	Lead	Outcome	Att.
4	VEE Issue #21 – Implementation to accommodate Issue 65.	Janie Mollon	<p>The group agreed to propose change to 867 to include stop & start DTM segment at the beginning – labeling would be 0000 – 0015 2345 – 0000 (internal start time and end time). Example of this issue – 45 days – This would enable the implementation of Issue 65.</p> <p>The group agreed to own the 867 Transaction and propose the changes and reasons for the changes to Policy – Implementation is recommended to be 45 days from after the end of the comment period (the comment period is recommended to be 30 days)</p> <p>The group agreed to Bundle changes to the 867 for approval.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Change in time from Daylight Savings time to GMT (Gene will redline changes to 867) 2. DTM – Start – End DTM Segment to support Issue 65. This would enable all parties that all segments are accounted for. Gene Slechta will identify and redline the 867. 3. Multi Meter how to handle DTM Segment. Single meter is change in a multi meter account only the one meter that did not have actual is recent. The original missing meter will be estimated – the rule still stands. The rules still apply to deliver date. 4. End of Day – the Group agreed the End of Day procedure should be consistent throughout Providers and UDC's. The End of Day will be 2400 or equivalent as agreed 5. Multi Meter – we will clip the data so they all have the same start – end time the group agreed on. 6. Recommended: The last full interval before the pull time is the last previous owner. The new owner is the first interval and responsible for . <p>There was extensive discussion on the process of when meter owners MRSP should record data to as Stacy discussed the Metering Group agreement. The group agreed that one process would be more efficient for all scenarios when a meter is removed. Stacy will take this issue to the Metering Group and get back to the VEE Group in the meeting.</p>	
5	Day of Install Requirements for MSP – Validation Summary Report	Janie Mollon	<p>ACTION ITEM: Tony Gillooly will bring draft proposal to meeting.</p> <p>Tony was unable to attend the meeting, so this item has been moved to the next meetings agenda.</p>	

	Topic	Lead	Outcome	Att.
6	Continue to scope the Performance Monitoring Process and requirements Issue #83 - Performance Monitoring Process & Requirements	Janie Mollon	<p>The group developed the first draft of the performance monitoring criteria. This draft is attached. The assignments were</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Data Remittance – C3 – Proposal – Receiving a notice for missing reads before estimation is performed. 2. C3 – Send correct data – Reconciling statistic's write up 3. *Estimated Data – discourages data to be sent – group to review 4. ACTION ITEM: No mechanism for squirrely But good file for kWh for the entire file – Gene Slechta to verify 5. C3 will put together the dispute process for performance measuring. 6. <u>Surface</u> Question: What happens in non-performance scenario? What is the time frame? What happens if they do not complete? 1. ACTION ITEM: APS/NWE will check if they UDC has the right to terminate an ESP MRSP per any agreements?. 	
7	2 nd half of VEE rule on non intervals	Janie Mollon	The group did not review postponed	
8	Review VEE issues list	Janie Mollon	The VEE issues list was reviewed and updated.	
9	Set Next Agenda	Janie Mollon	The group set the next agenda	
10	Suspend Meeting	Janie Mollon	Ms. Mollon suspended the meeting.	